

COMPARATIVE STUDY ON ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF AQUEOUS AND ETHANOL ROOT EXTRACTS OF Azadirachta indica ROOTS EXTRACT ON SOME BACTERIAL PATHOGENS



S. Adamu*, I. A. Sabo, E. P. K. Imarenezor, S. T. C. Brown and Y. S. Benjamin Department of Microbiology, Federal University Wukari, PMB 1020, Taraba State, Nigeria *Corresponding author: surajoadamu400@gmail.com

Received: February 13, 2020 Accepted: May 15, 2020

Azadirachta indica commonly known as neem is a plant of the family Meliaceae used as traditional medicine for Abstract: the treatment of many infections. The research was carried out to determine the antimicrobial effects of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the neem plant root. Clinical samples of Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus were used as test organisms. Crude extracts were prepared by dissolving 50 g of the dried powder of the plant root in a sterile beaker of 250 ml of the solvent and kept for 72 h at room temperature with periodic shaking and daily filtration using Watt-man No.1 filter paper and evaporation under reduced pressure to dryness using rotary evaporator. Agar well diffusion method was done for the antimicrobial sensitivity test of the extracts against the pathogens under study. Extract concentrations of 200, 150, 100 and 50 mg/ml, respectively were added into 4 wells in the inoculated petri dishes, allowed to stand for 1 h in the refrigerator and then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Ethanol extracts showed relatively stronger antimicrobial activity against aqueous extracts across the studied organisms. E. coli was found to have greater sensitivity to aqueous preparation at 200 mg/ml with mean diameter of zone of inhibition of 14.0±0.6 while P. aeruginosa was found to have greater sensitivity ethanolic extract at 200 mg/ml with mean diameter of 21.0±0.8. A. indica root extracts is a resource of herbal medicine and can be used against Gram negative bacteria such as E. coli and Gram positive organisms such as S aureus

Keywords: Antimicrobial, Azadirachta indica, extract, infections, sensitivity

Introduction

Medicinal plants have a long history and their use is widespread in both developed and developing countries, about 80% of the world's population relies mainly on traditional therapies which involve the use of plants and animal (WHO, 1993). During the last few decades, the incidence of microbial infections has increased dramatically. Continuous development of antimicrobial drugs in treating infections has led to emergence of resistance among various microorganisms including bacteria which results to persistence and spread of infections (WHO, 2014). Studies shows very high rates of resistant in bacteria such as Escherichia coli against antibiotics such as cephalosporin and fluoroquinolones, Klebsiellapneuomoniae against cephalosporin, Enterococci resist vancomycin and Staphylococcus aureus against methicillin (Nikaido, 2009; WHO, 2014). It is known that more than 400,000 species of tropical flowering plants have medicinal properties (Marinelli, 2005; IUCN Species Survival Commission, 2007; Odugbemi, 2009). Some plant decoctions are of great value in the treatment of diarrhea or gastrointestinal disorder, urinary tract infection, skin infection, infertility, wound and cutaneous abscesses (Langmead and Rampton, 2001; Ergene et al., 2006).

The plant under study is believed to have originated in Asia (Assam and Burma of south Asia, Pakistan, Siri Lanka, Thailand, Malaysia and Indonesia). The tree also grows in tropical and subtropical areas around the world (Verker and Wright, 1993). It has successfully been established in Australia, Haiti, West Africa, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and in the continental United State in Florida, Oklahoma and Arizona (Jacobson, 1990). It is well known in India and its neighboring countries as one of the most versatile medicinal plants having a wide spectrum of biological activity (Biswas *et al.*, 2002).

The plant has been in use since ancient times to treat a number of human ailment (Parrotta and Chaturvedi, 1994; Biswas *et al.*, 2002) and also as household pesticide (Chattopadhyay *et al.*, 1993). Numerous biological and pharmacological activities have been reported (Alzohairy,

2016). Extracts from the bark, leaves, fruits and roots have been used to control leprosy, intestinal helminthosis and respiratory disorders (Ketkar and Ketkar, 1995).

Azadirachta indica shows therapeutics role in health management due to rich source of various types of ingredients. The most important active constituent is azatdirachtin and the others are nimbolinin, nimbin, nimbidin, nimbidol, sodium nimbinate, gedunin, salannin, and quercetin. Leaves contain bioactive ingredients such as nimbin, nimbanene, 6-desacetylnimbinene, nimbandiol, nimbolide, ascorbic acid. n-hexacosanol, 7-desacetyl-7benzovlazadiradione. 7-desacetyl-7-benzovlgedunin. 17hydroxyazadiradione, and nimbiol. Quercetin and ß-sitosterol, polyphenolic flavonoids, were purified from neem fresh leaves and were known to have antibacterial and antifungal properties and seeds hold valuable constituents including gedunin and azadirachtin (Alzohairy, 2016).

Recent investigations have confirmed its role as antiinflammatory, antiarthritic, antipyretic, hypoglycemic, antigastric ulcer, antifungal, antibacterial, and antitumour activities (Alzohairy, 2016). The tree is still regarded as 'Village dispensary' and known over 2000 years one of the most versatile medicinal plantshaving a wide spectrum of activity (Lakshmanan and Subramanian, 1996). The enteric bacteria such as E. coli and P. aeruginosa are among the most important group of bacteria that are medically important that may occasionally be associated with diseases in humans and animals, also S. aureus have been found to be implicated in opportunistic infections in man and other animals (Todar, 2008; Gupte, 2010; O'Keeffe et al., 2015; O'Gara, 2017). Many researchers have contributed with regard to antibacterial implication of A. indica including Bhowmik (2010); Santhosh and Navaratnam (2013); Pankaj (2014); Tiwari et al. (2014); Francine et al. (2015), Maina et al. (2015), Ahmad et al. (2019); Karthika et al. (2019); Trivedi et al. (2019), among others, hence the need to conduct a research of this kind in order find out the most potent extraction procedure to ascertain high sensitivity extract against disease causing microbes for the benefit of mankind.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Portions of healthy roots were cut off from the neem tree at Federal University Wukari using clean knife and immediately transferred into a clean polythene bag as employed by Cheesbrough (2006). The collected plant material was taken to a plant scientist at the Botany Department Federal University Wukari for confirmation and then to the Microbiology laboratory where it was processed.

The stock organisms were obtained from the National Veterinary Research Institute Vom (NVRI) Jos, Plateau State. They were confirmed using cultural and biochemical tests as approved by Ochei and Kolhatkar (2008).

Preparation of ethanol and aqueous extract

Using a weighing balance, 50 g of the dried powder of the plant root extract was measured and transferred into a sterile beaker and then 250 ml of 80% ethanol was added and kept for 72 h at room temperature with periodic shaking and daily filtration using Watt-man No. 1 filter paper (Orhue *et al.*, 2014) and evaporation under reduced pressure to dryness using rotary evaporator to produce a crude extract as done by Sukhdev *et al.* (2008). The procedure was repeated three times. The same procedure was followed in the preparation using distilled water to obtain the aqueous extract.

Preparation of inoculum

A loop full of the colony of the stock organisms were inoculated in three different properly labeled sterile test tubes containing 1 ml Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The resulting turbidity was compared to 0.5 McFarland Turbidity standards (MTS) as approved by Ochei and Kolhatkar (2008). The bacterial suspension was kept at 4°C prior to antibacterial assay.

Preparation of the growth medium

Thirty-eight grams (38 g) of Muller Hinton Agar was added to 1000 ml distilled water and autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min at 15 lbs, allowed to cool and then poured in sterile Petri plates up to a uniform thickness of approximately 4 mm and allowed to solidify (Cheesbrough, 2006).

Antibacterial assay of neem root extract

The agar well diffusion method was done on Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) for the antimicrobial assay of the extracts against the pathogens under study. A sterile cotton swab was soaked into the bacterial suspension, rotated and then compressed against wall of the test tube to expel any excess fluid. The swab was then streaked on the surface of MHA plate three times over the entire plate surface to ensure a uniform growth. A sterile cork borer was then used to make 4 wells (6 mm diameter) on the medium. Under aseptic conditions each of the wells was filled up with four prepared concentrations of the extracts 200, 150, 100 and 50 mg/ml, respectively. The plates were allowed to stand for 1 h in the refrigerator for diffusion of the extract to take place and then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The set up was repeated tree times for each test organism. The diameters of zones of inhibition were measured (in mm) and the mean values were calculated as done by Cheesbrough (2006) and Aneja and Joshi (2009).

About 50 mg of amoxil powder was added to sterile water and made up to 100 ml antibiotic solution, after thoroughly shaking for 5 min in a volumetric flask, 1 ml solution was taken and mixed with 3 ml distilled water. This 4 ml solution was the desired antibiotic solution that was used as control (Modak *et al.*, 2015).

Results and Discussion

Antimicrobial effects and the minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol and aqueous extracts of *Azajirachta indica* against *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was observed in this research.

The result obtained showed different zones of inhibition on aqueous extract at the concentration of 200 mg/ml on the tested organisms as illustrated in Table 1, the extract showed effectiveness against *E. coli* (15.0 \pm 0.5 mm), *P. aeruginosa* (10.0 \pm 0.8 mm) and *S. aureus* (14.0 \pm 0.6 mm), respectively as shown in Table 1. The highest sensitivity was observed in *E. coli* across the tested concentrations.

Table 1:	Antimicrobial	effects	of	the	aqueous	A .	indica
root extract on the organisms under study							

Organism	Mean Diameter of zone of inhibition on bacteria (mm)						
organishi	Amoxil	50	100	150	200		
		mg/ml	mg/ml	mg/ml	mg/ml		
E. coli	29.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	13.0±0.5	13.0±0.5		
P. aeruginosa	27.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	11.0 ± 0.7	10.0 ± 0.8		
S. aureus	26.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	14.0 ± 0.6		

Table 2: Antimicrobial effects of the ethanolic extract of *A. ndica* root on the organisms under study

	Mean Diameter of zone of inhibition on						
Organism	bacteria (mm)						
	Amovil	50	100	150	200		
	AIIIOXII	mg/ml	mg/ml	mg/ml	mg/ml		
E. coli	28.0	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	13.5±1.0	15.5±1.0	17.5±0.6		
P. aeruginosa	26.0	0.0 ± 0.0	17.0 ± 0.5	$19.0{\pm}1.4$	21.0 ± 0.8		
S. aureus	27.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.0 ± 0.4	11.0±0.9	13.0±0.7		

Table 2 shows antimicrobial effects of the Ethanol extract of *A. ndica* root on the organisms under study, higher zones of inhibition was found with extract concentration of 200 mg/ml of 21.0 ± 0.8 for *P. aeruginosa*, 17.5 ± 0.6 for *E. coli*, and 13.0 ± 0.7 for *S. aureus*, the highest effect was found with *P. aeruginosa* across the concentrations.

Plants are a source of large number of drugs which are known to possess the antibiotic properties in the traditional system. These plants have some kind of secondary metabolites that are responsible for their antibacterial properties and thus treat large number of diseases (Sonal and Pankaj, 2014; Yash et al., 2014). Azajirachta indica has been in use since ancient times to treat a number of human ailment (Parrotta and Chaturvedi, 1994; Biswas et al., 2002) more importantly the enteric gastrointestinal tract diseases and has been used to treat various ailments from prehistory to the contemporary (Todar, 2008; Santhosh and Navaratnam, 2013). The antimicrobial potential of A. indica root extract may be due to its compositions; indeed, the phyto-constituents alkaloids, glycosides, flavanoids and saponins which are components of importance and accounts to the plant's antimicrobial properties (Hafiza, 2000).

The result obtained in this research showed sensitivities (mean zones of inhibitions)to both aqueous and ethanol root extracts at various concentrations which are higher at 200 mg/ml on the tested organisms as illustrated in Tables 1 and 2; the aqueous extract (at 200 mg/ml) showed mean zones of inhibitions against *E. coli* (15.0 ± 0.5 mm), *P. aeruginosa* (10.0 ± 0.8 mm) and *S. aureus* (14.0 ± 0.6 mm), respectively as shown in Table 1 and 21.0\pm0.8 for *P. aeruginosa*, 17.5 ± 0.6 for *E. coli*, and 13.0 ± 0.7 for *S. aureus* (Table 2) with regard to ethanol extract, no effect was found in concentrations less than 150 mg/ml for aqueous extract and 100 mg/ml for ethanolic extracts, respectively.

With regard to *E. coli*, both aqueous and ethanol extracts showed activities $(0.0\pm0.0, 13.0\pm0.5 \text{ and } 13.0\pm0.5 \text{ mm})$, however ethanol extract has higher activity $(13.5\pm1.0, 12.5\pm1.0, 12.$

15.5 \pm 1.0 and 17.5 \pm 0.6), all at concentrations of 100, 150 and 200 mg/ml, respectively. This contradicts the work of Yash *et al.* (2014) who observed the maximum antibacterial activity in aqueous extract of neem seed against *E. coli* and no activity with root extract. Moreover, ethanol root extract showed diameter of inhibition of 17 mm as compared to this study with mean diameter of 17.5 \pm 0.6 mm. The differences in the findings may be due to parts of the plant used in the research as different plant parts may vary in active ingredients. Gnanakalai and Gopal in 2016 found no effect on *E. coli* for both aqueous and ethanol extracts of leaves and bark have which is attributed to the differences in parts of the plant analyzed.

P. aeruginosa was found to have sensitivity to both the aqueous (with mean values of 0.0 ± 0.0 , 11.0 ± 0.7 and 10.0 ± 0.8 mm) and ethanolic (with mean values of 17.0 ± 0.5 , 19.0 ± 1.4 and 19.0 ± 1.4 mm) extracts in this study, moreover, the highest sensitivity values were obtained compared to *E. coli* and *S. aureus* as in Tables 1 and 2. The activity of the ethanolic extract is in line with the finding of Bharathi *et al.* (2018) who found zones of inhibitions of 25 and 30 mm in extract of methanol and 17 and 22 mm, respectively in ethyl alcohol all at concentrations of 100 and 200 mg/ml. The little discrepancy with our research may be due to difference in chemical properties between the solvents used. Both aqueous and ethanol extracts of leaves and bark have no effect on *P. aeruginosa* as shown by Orhue *et al.* (2014) which is attributed to the differences in the plant parts studied.

The activity of the extract against S. aureus was also ascertained, ethanol extract was found to be 27 more effective as the activity was observed within the range of concentration of 100, 150 and 200 mg/ml (10.0±0.4, 11.0±0.9 and 13.0±0.7, respectively) against the aqueous extract which was found to have activity only at concentration of 200 mg/ml in aqueous preparation (14.0 ± 0.6) and this is in concordance with the finding of Francine (2015) who found that ethanol extract from both dry and fresh extracts of neem were more effective against S. aureus compared to water extracts. He however found out that ethanol twig extracts at 50% w/v (µg/ml) showed statistically significant (P≤0.05) growth inhibition of S. aureus at 48 h incubation but sensitive at the same concentration with aqueous extracts, which is contrary to the finding of this study and may be due to difference in extract formulation.

Conclusion

Azadirachta indica root extracts demonstrated bactericidal potential against both gram positive and gram-negative bacteria which indicate that the plant can be employed as a source of antibacterial. Information derived from this study can change depending on Neem parts used, the solvent used; moreover, the root extracts can be a good resource for herbal drugs that can be used against Gram negative bacteria such as *E. coli* and Gram organisms such as *S. aureus*.

Acknowledgement

Our appreciation goes to God Almighty who in His infinite power had helped towards accomplishment of this research and to all individuals who have helped for its successful publication. Our sincere gratitude goes to the academic and non-academic staff of Microbiology Department, Federal university Wukari, Taraba State for their verbal and hands on contributions.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest reported in this work.

References

- Ahmad S, Maqbool A, Srivastava A & Gogol S 2019. Biological detail and therapeutic effect of *Azadirachtaindica* (neem tree) products - A review. J. *Evidence Based Med. Healthcare*, 6(22): 1607-1612. doi: 10.18410/jebmh/2019/324
- Alzohairy MA 2016. Therapeutics role of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) and their active constituents in diseases prevention and treatment. *Evidence-based Complem. and Alternative Med.* doi:10.1155/2016/7382506
- RAIAK & Joshi R 2009. Evaluation of antimicrobial properties of fruit extracts of *Terminalia chebula* against dental caries pathogens. *Journal of Microbiology*, 2(1): 105-111.
- Bharathi S, Saravanan D & Sundari MN 2018. Green synthesis of nanosilver from *azadirachta indica* leaves and its antibacterial activity. *Int. J. Current Microb. and Appl. Sci.*, 7(01): 1-7.
- Bhowmik D, Chiranjib YJ, Tripathi KK & Kumar KS 2010. Herbal remedies of *Azadirachta indica* and its medicinal application. J. Chem. and Pharmac. Res., 2(1): 62-72.
- Biswas K, Chattopadhyay I, Banerjee RK & Bandyopadhyay U 2002. Biological activities and medicinal properties of neem (*Azadirachta indica*). Current Science-Bangalore, 82(11): 1336-1345.
- Gupte S 2010. The Short Textbook of Medical Microbiology (Including Parasitology) Part IV, Systemic Bacteriology. Tenth Edition, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers Ltd, pp. 202-206.
- Chattopadhyay RR, Chattopadhyay RN and Maitra SK 1993. Possible mechanism of anti-inflammatory activity of *Azadirachta indica* leaf extract. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*. 25(2): 99-100.
- Cheesbrough M 2006. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries. Second edition. United States of America by Cambridge University Press (Part 2). 64-70; 137-396. *Chembio.* 5: 408-42.
- Ergene A, Guler P, Tan S, Hamzaoglu E & Duran A 2006. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of *Heracleum sphondylium* Subsp. artvinense. *Afr. J. Biotechn.*, 5(11): 1087-1089.
- Francine U, Jeannette U & Jean RP 2015. Assessment of antibacterial activity of Neem plant (*Azadirachta indica*) on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli. J. Med. Plants Stud.*, 3(4): 85-91.
- Gnanakalai K & Gopal R 2016. Phytochemical constituents and *invitro* antibacterial activity of various extract of *Azardirachta indica* (neem) *Int. J. Curreent Pharmc. Res.*, 8(3): 52-55.
- Hafiza R 2000. Peptides antibiotics. Lanc., 349: 418-422.
- IUCN Species Survival Commission Medicinal Plant Specialist Group 2007. Why Conserve and Manage Medicinal Plants? www.iucn.org/themes/ssc/sgs/mpsg/main/why.
- Jacobson M 1990. Review of Neem Research in the United States. In: Proceedings of a workshop on Neem's potential in pest management programs. Locke J. and Lawson R. (eds.), USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MDARS-86, pp. 4-14.
- Karthika S, Arulkumar R, Gopalasatheeskumar KG & Arulkumaran G 2019. Neem (*Azadirachta indica*): A miraculous medicinal plant from India. *Int. J. Universal Pharmacy and Bio. Sci.*, 8(4): 48-59.
- Ketkar AY & Ketkar CM 1995. The Neem tree: Source of Unique Natural Products for Integrated Pest Management, Medicine and other Purpose, pp. 518-525.
- Lakshmanan KK & Subramanian MS 1996. Azadirachta indica AS Juss. Stem-bark as Anti-leprosy Source. Neem

581

and Environment, Vol. 2. Oxford and IBH Publisher New Delhi, pp. 31143-31150.

- Langmead L & Rampton DS 2001. Review article: Herbal treatment in gastrointestinal and liver disease-benefits and dangers. *Aliment Pharmacol. Therapy, PubMed.*, 15(9): 1239-1252. Doi.org/<u>10.1046/j.1365-</u> <u>2036.2001.01053.x</u>
- Maina SW, Dimba E, Oyugi JO & Kabuitu STK 2015. Antimicrobial efficacy of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) twigs aqueous and ethanol extracts on tooth root canals biofilms. *Int. J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochem. Res.*, 7(4): 735-739.
- Marinelli J 2005. Plant: The Ultimate Visual Reference to Plants and Flowers of the World. New York: DK Publishing, Inc.
- Modak B, Rahman MS, Gazi MS, Uddin MA & Rahman T 2015. Antimicrobial potency assay of common antibiotics collected from different drug stores in Dhaka Metropolis. *Stamford J. Microbio.*, 3(1): 26–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.3329/sjm.v3i1.22749</u>
- Nikaido H 2009. Multidrug resistance in bacteria. Annual Rev. Biochem., 78: 119-146.
- Ochei JO & Kolhatkar AA 2008. Medical Laboratory Science, Theory and Practices, Tata McGraw-Hill, pp. 311-347.
- Odugbemi T 2006. Medicinal Plants as Antimicrobials. In: Outline and Pictures of Medicinal Plants from Nigeria. University of Lagos Press, pp. 53-64.
- O'Gara JP 2017. Into the storm: Chasing the opportunistic pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* from skin colonisation to life-threatening infections. *Environmental Microbiology*, 19(10): 3823-3833. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13833.
- O'Keeffe KM, Wilk MM, Leech JM, Murphy AG, Laabei M, Monk IR, Massey RC, Lindsay JA, Foster TJ, Geoghegan JA & McLoughlin RM 2015. Manipulation of autophagy in phagocytes facilitates *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection. *Infection and Immunity*, 83(9): 3445-3457.
- Orhue PO, Momoh ARM, Igumbor EO & Esumeh FI2014. Antibacterial effect of *Azadirachta indica* (CN: Neem or Dongo Yaro) parts on some urinary tract bacterial isolates. *Asian J. Plant Sci. and Res.*, 4(2): 64-67.

- Pankaj K 2014. *Azadirachta indica*: A plant with versatile potential, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences. J. *Pharmac. Sci.*, 4(1): 39-46. 10.5530/rjps.2014.2.2
- Parrotta J & Chaturvedi A 1994 .Azadirachta indica A. Juss. Neem, margosa. Meliaceae, Mahogany family. USDA Forest Service, Int. Ins. Tro. Fore., 1: 8-11.
- Santhosh VK & Navaratnam V 2013. Neem (Azadirachta indica): Prehistory to contemporary medicinal uses to humankind. Asian Pacific J. Trop. Biomed., 3(7): 505– 514. doi: 10.1016/S2221-1691(13)60105-7
- Sonal D & Pankaj K 2014. *Azadirachta indica*: A plant with versatile potential. *Rajiv Gandhi Univ. Health Sciences J. Pharmac. Sci.*, 4: 39-46. 10.5530/rjps.2014.2.2
- Sukhdev S, Suman P, Gennaro L & Dev D 2008. Extraction technologies for medicinal and aromatic plants. *United Nation Ind. Devt. Org. and the Int. Center for Sci. and High Techn.*, 1: 116.
- Tiwari R, Verma, AK, Chakraborty S, Dhama, K & Singh SV 2014. Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and its potential for safeguarding health of animals and humans: A review. J. *Biol. Sci.*, 14: 110-123. doi: <u>10.3923/jbs.2014.110.123</u>
- Todar K 2008. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Online Text of Bacteriology. University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Bacteriology.
- Trivedi AS, Fatima N, Husain I & Misra A 2019. An update on the therapeutic potential of neem and its active constituents: A panacea for all diseases. *Era's J. Med. Res.*, 6(1): 1-8.
- Verkerk J & Wright J 1993. Biological activity of Neem seed kernel extracts and synthetic azadirachtin against larvae of *Plutellaxylostella* L. *Pesti. Sci.*, 37: 83-91.
- World Health Organization (WHO) 2014. Antimicrobial Resistance Global Report on Surveillance. Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization (WHO) 1993. Summary of WHO guidelines for the assessment of herbal medines. *Herbal Gram*, 28: 13-14.
- Yash S, Dua D & Srivastva SN 2014. Comparative study of different parts of *Azadirachta indica* (neem) plant on the basis of antibacterial activity, phytochemical screening and its effect on rat pc-12 (pheochromocytoma) cell. *Int. J. Biotechn., Humanities, Sports and Allied Fields*, 2(7): 144-154.